

STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08**Darien School District**

DONALD P. FIFTAL, Superintendent
Telephone: (203) 656-7412

Location: 2 Renshaw Road
Darien,
Connecticut

Website: www.darienps.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield	Per Capita Income in 2000: \$77,519
Town Population in 2000: 19,607	Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 5.1%
1990-2000 Population Growth: 7.8%	Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.9%
Number of Public Schools: 7	District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 84.8%

*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

District Reference Group (DRG): A DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Enrollment on October 1, 2007	4,648
5-Year Enrollment Change	12.5%

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Grade Range	PK-12
-------------	-------

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	81	1.7	1.0	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	40	0.9	0.8	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	351	7.6	6.9	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	507	10.9	10.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	390	99.2	96.8	79.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	22	4.1	7.2	20.2

*100.0% of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity		
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
American Indian	16	0.3
Asian American	126	2.7
Black	37	0.8
Hispanic	85	1.8
White	4,384	94.3
Total Minority	264	5.7

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.5%

Non-English Home Language: 3.0% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 23.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

In an effort to increase and expand interactions among students with varying backgrounds, our suburban school district took part in the following inter-district programs: The Center for Global Study Inter-district Magnet Program at Norwalk; the Regional Center for the Arts Magnet hosted at CES in Trumbull; The Westhill Vocational Agriculture Program in Stamford; the Stamford Academy of Information Technology and Engineering; the Western Region CMEA High School Music Festival; FCIAC High School competitions; CSDE Interdistrict Music Composition Program. In addition, the Darien Public Schools also participated in the ABC (A Better Chance) Program; Respect for Differences, Kids Giving Back: Building with Books; UNICEF; Save the Children; Person-to-Person; Diversity Day (DIVE Day), Anti-Defamation League: NAMES Program, and the China Youth Exchange Project.

Darien, as a community and school system, attracts many international families for both short and long term stays. For example, students with dominant languages other than American English include Danish, Japanese, Spanish, Chinese, Korean, Sudanese, Norwegian, British, Urdu, Farsi, French, German, Italian, Burmese, Greek, Latvian, Portuguese, Albanian, Dutch, Persian and Russian.

Students from New York City were in residence in Darien through the ABC (A Better Chance Program). Students and a Darien High School teacher volunteered as tutors in a program operated in the Stamford schools. Darien students were involved in the Fresh Air program, where urban children come to Darien in the summer. A formal intercultural exchange has continued with a high school in Shanghai, China and with another school in Qingdao, China. At the middle school, there has been collaboration on a project to link students in Darien, CT with students in Darien, Panama. At the elementary level, we have participated in Person-to-Person, and students at elementary level sustain an internet project with students from a New Mexico Indian Reservation. Student and teacher participation in interscholastic athletics, music and theater activities remain high, so through these we maintain student-to-student interaction with urban and suburban schools in the region.

The district is encouraged with the results of these programs over the 1998 through 2008 time span. It is continuing to develop each of these activities both in scope and participation. The Darien School District has actively looked for other opportunities to collaborate with its urban and suburban neighbors, and anticipates additional program participation next school year.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	82.2	52.0	98.2
Writing	84.6	63.4	93.3
Mathematics	86.8	60.0	95.7
Grade 4 Reading	82.7	55.9	97.5
Writing	86.8	62.9	95.6
Mathematics	85.0	60.3	91.2
Grade 5 Reading	91.0	62.2	99.4
Writing	93.0	64.5	99.4
Mathematics	89.7	65.9	94.4
Science	88.3	54.9	98.8
Grade 6 Reading	90.7	66.3	96.4
Writing	85.0	61.9	93.5
Mathematics	91.2	66.4	95.8
Grade 7 Reading	93.8	71.1	98.7
Writing	92.6	62.0	100.0
Mathematics	94.1	63.0	99.4
Grade 8 Reading	88.9	64.8	91.2
Writing	91.9	63.4	96.2
Mathematics	91.4	60.8	98.7
Science	87.3	58.6	96.2

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.com.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	75.9	45.5	90.0
Writing Across the Disciplines	87.2	57.9	92.3
Mathematics	85.2	50.1	96.2
Science	74.5	46.3	90.0

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	51.5	36.1	88.2

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2007		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		100.0	77.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	598	504	98.5
	Critical Reading	577	502	96.9
	Writing	579	503	96.9

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	100.0	92.6	100.0
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	0.0	6.2	100.0
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.0	1.7	100.0

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	93.1	83.4
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	1.3	12.3

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	325.12
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	40.90
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	50.73
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	61.25
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	18.76
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.50
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	8.20
School Level	19.99
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	6.98
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	22.90
School Nurses	11.75
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	168.88

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	11.8	12.7	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	86.6	85.4	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	20.8	18.9	18.1
Grade 2	20.8	20.5	19.3
Grade 5	22.0	21.7	20.9
Grade 7	21.2	21.4	20.5
High School	19.1	20.1	18.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	999	1,000	987
Middle School	982	999	1,017
High School	1,008	987	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	4.9	3.1	3.4
Middle School	5.7	2.7	2.7
High School	1.8	2.2	2.7

*Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$38,480	\$8,340	\$7,153	\$8,205	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,763	\$382	\$262	\$272	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$2,197	\$476	\$443	\$559	\$429
Student Support Services	\$1,879	\$407	\$764	\$821	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$5,934	\$1,286	\$1,256	\$1,450	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$6,709	\$1,454	\$1,329	\$1,740	\$1,322
Transportation	\$2,821	\$559	\$605	\$681	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$981	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,111	\$241	\$147	\$178	\$145
Total	\$61,875	\$13,367	\$12,203	\$14,330	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$10,856	\$2,353	\$1,875	\$1,844	\$1,882

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$12,526,993
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	20.2%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	92.6	6.3	1.1	0.0
Excluding School Construction	93.5	5.3	1.2	0.0

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

By virtue of its policies and practices, The Darien Board of Education recognizes the need to provide equal education opportunity and access to resources at all of its schools, but especially among its five elementary schools. The annual budget, developed in part on the basis of per capita formulas, ensures that adequate resources are available to all programs and students K-12. Even in the area of PTO contributions, guidelines have been established to ensure that all schools have substantially similar access to enrichment funds. The school district adheres to a general class size policy and identifies purchasing priorities each year to be certain that resources are allocated to specific programs, schools, projects and human resources. District initiatives are identified through system-wide planning and curriculum evaluation processes. These initiatives are discussed publicly throughout each year's budget process. In addition, assessments are conducted each year to determine the needs of individual school buildings.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	494
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	10.7%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	33	0.7	0.8	0.7
Learning Disability	171	3.7	4.0	4.0
Intellectual Disability	7	0.2	0.2	0.5
Emotional Disturbance	9	0.2	0.4	1.0
Speech Impairment	145	3.1	2.3	2.4
Other Health Impairment*	99	2.1	1.8	2.1
Other Disabilities**	30	0.6	0.6	0.9
Total	494	10.7	10.1	11.5

*Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

**Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	92.9	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.7	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- **Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation.** The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	51.4	20.4	88.1	62.1
	Writing	52.6	19.3	88.9	63.0
	Mathematics	49.8	22.6	89.6	62.7
	Science	47.3	22.2	87.8	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	34.4	11.4	75.9	45.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	45.2	16.3	87.2	57.9
	Mathematics	31.3	14.7	85.2	50.1
	Science	25.8	14.4	74.5	46.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools		
CMT	% Without Accommodations	21.7
	% With Accommodations	78.3
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	-12.1
	% With Accommodations	100.0
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		4.0

Accommodations for a student’s disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District’s Schools		
Placement	Count	Percent
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0
Private Schools or Other Settings	13	2.6

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers				
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	392	79.4	76.6	71.6
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	75	15.2	16.7	16.6
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	27	5.5	6.7	11.8

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Among our examples of student academic progress is performance on the CMT's. By grade 8, the percent of students scoring at or above the State goal in math has grown from 73% in 1985 to 94% in 2006. The percent of 8th graders scoring at or above the State goal in reading has grown from 76% in 1995 to 91% in 2006. In writing the percent of 8th graders scoring at or above the State goal has grown from 62% in 1995 to 90% in 2006.

Similarly on the 10th grade CAPT test, the percentage of students scoring at or above goal between 1996 and 2006 has increased as follows: in math from 66% to 78%; in science from 52% to 74%; in reading from 71% to 74%; and in writing from 71% to 78%.

Another such measure of sustained academic growth in the school district has been student performance on the SAT's. In mathematics Darien's average SAT math score was 555 in 1993. It was 606 in 2005. On the verbal SAT the average went from 548 in 1993 to 585 in 2005. Finally, in the area of Advanced Placement Testing, 95% of our students who took AP tests earned a score of 3 or higher. Also, during the past five years, students scoring 5 on the AP exams has increased from 30% to 39%.

Filename: DIST020.DOC
Directory: J:\SSPbox\SSP Internet 2007-08
Template: C:\Documents and Settings\cloudr\Application
Data\Microsoft\Templates\Normal.dot
Title: 35-00
Subject:
Author: csde
Keywords:
Comments:
Creation Date: 12/2/2008 11:28 AM
Change Number: 1
Last Saved On: 12/2/2008 11:28 AM
Last Saved By: csde
Total Editing Time: 1 Minute
Last Printed On: 12/2/2008 12:05 PM
As of Last Complete Printing
Number of Pages: 9
Number of Words: 3,052 (approx.)
Number of Characters: 16,731 (approx.)